(2)

AN ORDER SEVEN BERNSTEIN INDUCED HYBRID TWO-STEP METHOD FOR DIRECT SOLUTION OF SECOND-ORDER INITIAL PROBLEMS

Ojo E. O., Adoghe L. O. and Okoro F.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Faculty of Physical Sciences, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma, Nigeria.

Abstract

In this article, a two step Bernstein Hybrid Multistep Method (BHMM) of order seven is developed for the direct solution of second order initial problems. To derive this method, the approximate function was interpolated and collocated at equidistant grid and offgrid points. The continuous scheme was evaluated at different off-step points to obtain multiple hybrid method and presented as a block method. The resulting methods are zero-stable, consistent and convergent. Some numerical examples were given to demonstrate the accuracy and efficiency of the proposed method and found to give better approximation than the existing methods.

Keywords: Bernstein polynomial, Collocation, Interpolation, Hybrid, Block method.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we present the Bernstein polynomial of degree m defined on the interval [a,b], given by [1] and [2] in the following form.

$$y(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m=r+s-1} c_k {m \choose i} \frac{(x-a)^i (b-x)^{m-i}}{(b-a)^m}, \qquad k = 0, 1, \dots, m$$
(1)

to find an approximation solution to general second order initial value problem (IVP) of Eq (2)

$$y'' = f(x, y(x), y'(x)) \quad y(x_0) = \eta_0, y'(x_0) = \eta_1, x \in [a, b]$$

where r and s are number of distinct collocation and interpolation points respectively, c_k are the coefficients to be determined and for $m \ge 1$. In most cases researchers reduces equation (2) to systems of first order (IVP) and then, suitable methods for first order equations are adopted to solve them. Reduction approach is quite good but has been known to have some drawbacks which include waste of time, tediousness, the need for large computer storage and alots of human efforts, this approach has been extensively discussed in the literature [3, 4]. Because of this, many researchers have attempted to solve equation (2) directly, among are those of [5-8].

Direct method for solving (2) was implemented in different ways such as predictor-corrector method, block method, which provide starting points for predictor-corrector method and hybrid block method. Hybrid block method combined step and off-step points to form a single block for solving ODEs, which enable to overcome the drawback of block methods, [9, 10]. Various methods have been proposed by many authors for solving (2) using different basis functions. This includes, Alkasassbeh and Omar [11] adopted the power series as basis function, Olabode and Momoh [12] used Chebyshev polynomials. In Jator [9], finite difference method was used. Other basis polynomials used for approximate solution to (2) are Lucas polynomials in Adeniran and Longe [13], shifted roots of Legendre polynomials in Kamoh et al [8] and Ukpebor [14], and Trigonometric polynomials in Adeniran and Longe [7].

In this paper, we developed an order seven two-step hybrid block method to extend the work of Ojo and Okoro [15-16] which derived one-step block method for solving (2) directly without reducing system of first-order using Bernstein polynomial

2. Derivation of the Method

In this section, we shall construct a two-step continuous LMMs. To achieve this, we imposed the following conditions on (1)

Corresponding Author: Ojo E.O., Email: ojoolukunle84@gmail.com, Tel: +2348034729616

Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 64, (April. – Sept., 2022 Issue), 65–74

$$\bar{y}\left(x_{n+\frac{j}{6}}\right) = y_{n+\frac{j}{6}}, j = 0, 1, 9$$

$$\bar{y}''\left(x_{n+\frac{j}{6}}\right) = f\left(\left(x_{n+\frac{j}{6}}\right), y\left(x_{n+\frac{j}{6}}\right), y'\left(x_{n+\frac{j}{6}}\right)\right), j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 6k$$
(4)
where k is the step number

Now, by interpolating equation (1) at $x = x_{n+\frac{j}{6}}$, j = 0, 1, 9 and collocating its second derivative at all points

 $x = x_{n+\frac{j}{6}}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 6k$ respectively, gives a system of non-linear equation of the form OX = B(5)

QX = B (5) Solving (5) for the c_k , k = 0(1)8 and substituting back into (1) above and after much algebraic simplification yield a method of the form

$$y(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_j(x) y_{n+j} + h^2 \left[\sum_{j=0}^k \beta_j(x) f_{n+j} + \sum_{\nu}^k \beta_{\nu}(x) f_{n+\nu} \right]$$
(6)

where y(x) is the numerical solution of the ivp and $v = \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \alpha_j$ and β_j are constants and

 $f_{n+j} = f(x_{n+j}, y_{n+j}, y'_{n+j}).$ Equation (6) is evaluated at the non-interpolating points $x = x_{n+\frac{j}{6}}, j = 0, 1, 3, 6, 8, 12$ and its first derivative at all points $x = x_{n+\frac{j}{2}}, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 6k$ produces the following general equations in block form

$$AY_L = BR_1 + CR_2 + DR_3$$
Where
(7)

$$Y_{L} = \begin{bmatrix} y_{n+\frac{1}{6}} \\ y_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ y_{n+1} \\ y_{n+\frac{3}{2}} \\ y_{n+2} \\ y'_{n+\frac{1}{6}} \\ y'_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ y_{n+2} \\ y'_{n+\frac{1}{6}} \\ y'_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \\ y$$

	138863h ²	$36101h^2$	$71159h^2$	$101h^{2}$	$31051h^2$	$17023h^2$
	4139520 1117h ²	653184 27401 <i>h</i> ²	$2177280 \\ 1838h^2$	$1505280 \\ 109h^2$	$\overline{13063680}$ 9617 h^2	$-\frac{287400960}{727h^2}$
	$-\frac{388080}{1643h^2}$	$-\frac{306180}{86473h^2}$	$-\frac{25515}{133847h^2}$	17640 5821 h^2	$-\frac{1224720}{36571h^2}$	3367980 17023h ²
	1774080 $9985h^2$	$-\frac{1}{4199040}$ 125737 h^2	2799360 165491h2	$-\frac{215040}{10769h^2}$	$-\frac{16796160}{60955h^2}$	$369515520 \\ 4062h^2$
	2483712 22103h ²	1959552 253979h ²	1306368 1521949h2	$-\frac{903168}{133573h^2}$	$-\frac{1}{7838208}$ 12547601 h^2	$\frac{172440576}{1093457h^2}$
	1128960 384089h	9797760 391219h	6531840 66821h	903168 9791h	39191040 92509h	78382080 110207h
D =	1552320 208h	1224720 26224h		1128960 2h	- <u>4898880</u> 158h	215550720 188h
		76545 10342h	- 3645 24967h	245 3209h	15309 22529h	841995 2869h
	194040 6431h	76545 172541h		70560 20263h	612360 38263h	2694384 212497h
	44352 2419h	1224720 149453h	1632960 68129h	161280 36373h	979776 43357h	215550720 6221h
		1224720 9584h	233280 7184h		$-\frac{4898880}{4808h}$	- 43110144 52h
	24255 175783h	76545 80179h	25515 244219h	2205 1109953h	76545 884357h	120285 28041599h
	1552320	1224720	326592	1128960	699840	215550720

D Multipling equation (7) by the inverse of (A) gives the hybrid block method of the form $IY_L = \bar{B}R_1 + \bar{C}R_2 + \bar{D}R_3$ (8)

Where



Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 64, (April. – Sept., 2022 Issue), 65–74

3563369h² 470292480

	286589h ²	$59669h^2$	$27779h^2$	$20491h^2$	$90577h^2$	$9601h^2$	-
	37255680	29393280	19595520	13547520	117573120	369515520	
	$120447h^2$	$67h^{2}$	$13h^{2}$	$891h^{2}$	$127h^{2}$	$23h^{2}$	
	1379840	4480	5376	501760	-161280	1182720	
	$2349h^2$	h^2	$19h^{2}$	$81h^{2}$	$11h^{2}$	h^2	
	10780	5	420	$-\frac{3920}{3920}$	1260	$-\frac{1}{4620}$	
	$2163h^2$	$80384h^2$	$2944h^2$	$256h^2$	$4736h^2$	$208h^{2}$	
	72765	229635	15309	6615	229635	360855	
	$465831h^2$	$1899h^{2}$	$351h^2$	$6561h^2$	$93h^{2}$	$279h^2$	
	1379840	4480	1280	$-\frac{1}{501760}$	3584	394240	
	$1296h^2$	$64h^{2}$	$64h^{2}$	$81h^{2}$	$16h^{2}$	h^2	
$\overline{D} =$	2695	105	105	490	45	77	
	184409h	_ <u>1891h</u>	8627h	19007h	4661h	20693h	
	1552320	81648	544320	1128960	544320	71850240	
	51273h	929h	$-\frac{269h}{1}$	4617h	<u>361h</u>	491h	
	172480	5040	6720	125440	20160	887040	
	2511h	<u>29h</u>	137h	-1053h	73h	<u>83h</u>	
	10780	63	420	7840	1260 256b	55440	
	58880	11264n	1408h	194h	2560	$-\frac{184n}{200667}$	
	24255 41552h	25515 249h	2835 1080h	2205 24057h	25515 183h	280665 03h	
	172400	<u><u><u></u></u><u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u></u>	2240	125/10	2240	$-\frac{9311}{00560}$	
	172480 972h	560 16h	2240 20h	125440 243h	2240 404h	98560 449h	
	2695	63	2011	$-\frac{21011}{245}$	215	3465	_
-	2075	05	4 1	273	212	5705	

Expanding the block method (8) in Taylor Series and comparing the coefficients in h gives

$$\begin{split} \int_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{k}{l}}{l!} \mathbf{y}_{n}^{(l)} - \mathbf{y}_{n} - \frac{1}{6} h\mathbf{y}'_{n} - \frac{3563369}{470292480} h^{2}\mathbf{y}'_{n} - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^{(l+2)}}{l!} \mathbf{y}_{n}^{(l+2)} \left[\frac{286589}{372255600} \left(\frac{1}{6} \right)^{l} - \frac{59669}{29393280} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{l} \\ & + \frac{27779}{19595520} \left(\mathbf{y}^{l} - \frac{20491}{13575270} \left(\frac{3}{3} \right)^{l} - \frac{9601}{17573120} \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^{l} - \frac{9601}{377640} \left(\frac{3}{6} \right)^{l} \\ & + \frac{9601}{19595520} \left(\mathbf{y}^{l} - \frac{2227}{2160} h^{2}\mathbf{y}'_{n} - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^{(l+2)}}{l!} \mathbf{y}_{n}^{(l+2)} \left[\frac{120447}{1379840} \left(\frac{1}{6} \right)^{l} + \frac{67}{4460} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{l} - \frac{13}{5376} \left(\mathbf{1} \right)^{l} \\ & + \frac{9501760}{60} \left(\frac{3}{3} \right)^{l} - \frac{127}{161280} \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^{l} - \frac{23}{1182720} \left(\mathbf{2} \right)^{l} \\ & \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\mathbf{1} \right)^{l} h^{l}}{l^{l}} \mathbf{y}_{n}^{(l)} - \mathbf{y}_{n} - h\mathbf{y}'_{n} - \frac{247}{5464} h^{2}\mathbf{y}'_{n} - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^{(l+2)}}{l^{l}} \mathbf{y}_{n}^{(l+2)} \left[\frac{21632}{12765} \left(\frac{1}{6} \right)^{l} + \frac{403}{220635} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{l} + \frac{31}{3200} \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^{l} \\ & + \frac{11}{1260} \left(\frac{3}{2} \right)^{l} - \frac{1}{4620} \left(\mathbf{2} \right)^{l} \\ & \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{10} \right)^{l} h^{l}}{\mathbf{y}_{n}^{(l)} - \mathbf{y}_{n} - \frac{4}{3} h\mathbf{y}'_{n} - \frac{15592}{229635} h^{2}\mathbf{y}'_{n} - \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{h^{(l+2)}}{l^{l}} \mathbf{y}_{n}^{(l+2)} \left[\frac{21632}{12765} \left(\frac{1}{6} \right)^{l} + \frac{403034}{229635} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^{l} + \frac{2944}{15309} \left(\frac{1}{10} \right)^{l} \\ & - \frac{2565}{651760} \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^{l} + \frac{4733}{229635} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{l} - \frac{206055}{2025} \left(\mathbf{2} \right)^{l} \\ & - \frac{6565}{601760} \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^{l} + \frac{3526}{229635} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{l} - \frac{279}{229635} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{l} \\ & - \frac{2565}{60055} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{l} + \frac{4733}{229635} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{l} \\ & - \frac{2565}{601560} \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^{l} + \frac{3529}{35642} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{l} \\ & - \frac{2565}{601560} \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^{l} + \frac{3529}{229635} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{l} \\ & - \frac{2565}{601560} \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^{l} + \frac{279}{229635} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{l} \\ & - \frac{2565}{601560} \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^{l} + \frac{3529}{229635} \left(\frac{2}{3} \right)^{l} \\ & - \frac{2565}{601560} \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^{l} + \frac{3529}{229635} \left(\frac{4}{3} \right)^{l} \\ & - \frac{2565}{601560} \left(\frac{4}{3}$$



3 Zero Stability and Convergence of the Method

The zero-stability is concerned with the stability of the difference system in the limit as h tends to zero. Thus, as $h \rightarrow 0$, the method (8) tends to the difference system.

Following Fatunla [17] the block method (8) is zero-stable, since from (10), $\rho(z) = 0$ satisfies $|z_i| \le 1, i = 1, ..., k$ and for those roots with $|z_i| = 1$, the multiplicity must not exceed two. Block method (8) is therefore consistent as it has order p > 1. Hence the convergence of our method is as asserted in Henrici [18]

4. Numerical Experiment and Results

In this section, four problems were considered to test the performance of our method, Bernstein Hybrid Multistep Method (BHMM)

Problem 4.1: We consider the highly stiff IVP which was earlier studied by Jator [9] and also solved by Adeniran and Longe [13]

y'' + 1001y' + 1000y = 0, y(0) = 1, y'(0) = -1

With the exact solution: $y(x) = e^{-x}$

Table 1: Comparison of the error of our method (BHMM) with a sixth order linear multistep method Jator [9] and Adeniran and Longe [13] for problem 4.1

Х	Exact	BHMM	Error in	Error in	Error in
	Solution	Solution	BHMM	[9]	[13]
0.1	0.904837418035959	0.904837418035959	2.5×10^{-19}	6.987×10^{-12}	3.332×10^{-09}
0.2	0.818730753077981	0.818730753077981	4.2×10^{-19}	1.003×10^{-12}	6.388×10^{-09}
0.3	0.740818220681717	0.740818220681717	5.7×10^{-19}	7.859×10^{-12}	9.158×10^{-09}
0.4	0.670320046035639	0.670320046035639	6.9×10^{-19}	10.478×10^{-12}	1.164×10^{-08}
0.5	0.606530659712633	0.606530659712633	7.8×10^{-19}	63.221×10^{-12}	1.383×10^{-08}
0.6	0.548811636094026	0.548811636094026	8.4×10^{-19}	10.050×10^{-12}	1.575×10^{-08}
0.7	0.496585303791409	0.496585303791409	8.9×10^{-19}	9.363×10^{-12}	1.740×10^{-08}
0.8	0.449328964117221	0.449328964117221	9.2×10^{-19}	2.647×10^{-12}	1.880×10^{-08}
0.9	0.406569659740599	0.406569659740599	9.4×10^{-19}	10.679×10^{-12}	1.995×10^{-08}
1.0	0.367879441171442	0.367879441171442	0.0	23.273×10^{-12}	2.088×10^{-08}

Journal of the Nigerian Association of Mathematical Physics Volume 64, (April. – Sept., 2022 Issue), 65–74

Problem 4.2: In this example, we test the performance of our method (BHMM) on the mildly stiff problem which was also solved by Alkasassbeh and Omar [11] and Ukpebor [14].

$$f(x, y, y') = y', y(0) = 0, y'(0) = -1$$

With the exact solution: $y(x) = (1 - e^x)$

It is observed that our method performs better than those given in Alkasassbeh and Omar [11] and Ukpebor [14] despite the fact that we used a lager step-size h = 0.01. Hence, for this example, our method is clearly superior. As shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Comparison of the error of our method (BHMM) with Alkasassbeh & Omar [11] and Ukpebor [14] for problem 4.2

Х	Exact B	HMM	Error in	Error in	Error in	
	Solution Sc	olution	BHMM h	=0.01 [11] h=	=0.01 [14] =0.	1/32
0.1	-0.1051709180756476248	-0.105170918075	64762484	4×10^{-20}	8.33×10^{-17}	9.93×10^{-17}
0.2	-0.2214027581601698339	-0.221402758160	16983404	1.4×10^{-19}	2.78×10^{-16}	6.42×10^{-17}
0.3	-0.3498588075760031040	-0.349858807576	00310430	3.0×10^{-19}	5.55×10^{-16}	8.71×10^{-16}
0.4	-0.4918246976412703178	-0.4918246976412	27031842	6.2×10^{-19}	9.44×10^{-16}	5.35×10^{-15}
0.5	-0.6487212707001281468	-0.648721270700	12814787	1.07×10^{-18}	2.11×10^{-15}	3.20×10^{-12}
0.6	-0.8221188003905089749	-0.822118800390	50897645	1.55×10^{-18}	3.22×10^{-15}	6.40×10^{-12}
0.7	-1.0137527074704765216	-1.0137527074704	4765239	2.3×10^{-18}	4.44×10^{-15}	9.62×10^{-12}
0.8	-1.2255409284924676046	-1.2255409284924	4676077	3.1×10^{-18}	5.99×10^{-15}	1.29×10^{-11}
0.9	-1.4596031111569496638	-1.459603111156	9496680	4.2×10^{-18}	7.77×10^{-15}	3.21×10^{-11}
1.0	-1.7182818284590452354	-1.718281828459	0452410	5.6×10^{-18}	1.07×10^{-14}	5.15×10^{-11}

Problem 4.3: Here we consider the nearly periodic Stiefel and Bettis initial value problem, which was also studied in [9], [12] and [19],

 $y'' + y = \frac{1}{1000}e^{ix}, y(0) = 1, y'(0) = 0.9995i, x \in [0, \pi]$ which has the equivalent form problems

$$y''_{1} + y_{1} = \frac{1}{1000} \cos(x), y_{1}(0) = 1, y'_{1}(0) = 0$$

$$y''_{2} + y_{2} = \frac{1}{1000} \sin(x), y_{2}(0) = 1, y'_{2}(0) = 0.9995$$

$$y(x) = y_{1}(x) + iy_{2}(x); y_{1}, y_{2} \in \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{D}(x) = \sqrt{y^{2}_{1}(x) + y^{2}_{2}(x)}$$

with the following theoretical solution: $y_1(x) = \cos(x) + \frac{1}{2000}x\sin(x)$, and $y_2(x) = \sin(x) - \frac{1}{2000}x\cos(x)$. According to Olabode and Momoh [12], the differential system in the above problem, represents motion on a perturbed circular orbit in complex plane in which the point y(x) spirals slowly outward such that its distance from the origin at any given time t is $\mathcal{D}(x)$. With the exact solution of $\mathcal{D}(x) = 1.001972$.

Table 3: Comparison of the error of our method (BHMM) with Chebyshev Hybrid Multistep Method Olabode & Momoh [12] for problem 4.3,

X Exact		(y_1) BHMM	Error in (y_1) Erro	or in
	Solution	Solution	BHMM		[12]
0.0062500	0.999980488345	0.99998048	8345 0.	0000E + 000	4.98E – 18
0.0093750	0.999956098954	0.99995609	8954 0.	0000E + 000	3.34E - 18
0.0125000	0.999921954140	0.999921954	1140 1.	1102E - 016	9.96E - 18
0.0156250	0.999878054236	0.999878054	1236 0.	0000E + 000	1.65E – 18
0.0187500	0.999824399671	0.999824399	9671 0.	0000E + 000	1.49E - 17
0.0218750	0.999760990967	0.999760990)967 0.	0000E + 000	6.63E – 18
0.0250000	0.999687828743	0.999687828	3743 0.	0000E + 000	1.99E — 17
0.0281250	0.999604913714	0.999604913	3714 0.	0000E + 000	1.16E — 17
0.0312500	0.999512246687	0.999512246	6687 1.	1102E - 016	2.49E - 17

Х	Exact	(y_2) BHMM	Error in (y_2)	Error in
	Solution	Solution	BHMM	[12]
0.0062500	0.006246834371	0.006246834371	0.0000E + 000	1.90E – 20
0.0093750	0.009370175377	0.009370175377	0.0000E + 000	2.43E – 20
0.0125000	0.012493424970	0.012493424970	5.2042E - 018	1.10E – 19
0.0156250	0.015616552679	0.015616552679	6.9389E - 018	2.10E - 20
0.0187500	0.018739528034	0.018739528034	0.0000E + 000	2.44E - 19
0.0218750	0.021862320570	0.021862320570	3.4694E - 018	1.27E – 19
0.0250000	0.024984899821	0.024984899821	0.0000E + 000	4.49E - 19
0.0281250	0.028107235322	0.028107235322	6.9389E - 018	2.96E — 19
0.0312500	0.031229296614	0.031229296614	0.0000E + 000	7.16E – 19

Table 4, shown the solution for problem 4.3 obtain the values of $y_1(x)$ and $y_2(x)$ using the our method (BHMM) for $\pi/4$, $\pi/5$, $\pi/6$, $\pi/9$, $\pi/12$

Table 4: Comparison of the error of our method (BHMM) with Jator [9], Olabode & Momoh [12], Lambert & Watson [19], for problem 4.3,

h	[9]	[12]	[19]	Error in our method
				(BHMM)
$\pi/4$	1.003067	1.002084	1.003145	1.0021842
$\pi/5$	1.002217	1.002117	1.002312	1.0021172
$\pi/6$	1.002047	1.002064	1.002048	1.0020545
π/9	1.001978	1.001984	1.001982	1.0019826
$\pi/12$	1.001973	1.001974	1.001971	1.0019719

Problem 4.4: We consider the non-linear IVP which was also solved by Adesanya & Odekunle [20] and Anake, et al [21] for the step-size h = 0.05.

 $y'' - x(y')^2 = 0, y(0) = 1, y'(0) = 1/2$

With the exact solution: $y(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}ln((2+x)/(2-x))$

Table 5: Comparison of the error of our method (BHMM) with Adesanya & Odekunle [20] and Anake, et al [21] for problem 4.4

Х	Exact	BHMM	Error in	Error in	Error in
	Solution	Solution	BHMM	[20]	[21]
0.1	1.050041729278	1.050041729278	9.1038E – 15	7.5028E - 13	2.5056E – 12
0.2	1.100335347731	1.100335347731	2.7400E - 13	9.7410E - 12	2.0446E - 11
0.3	1.151140435936	1.151140435934	2.1492E – 12	3.7638E – 11	7.0966E – 11
0.4	1.202732554054	1.202732554045	9.4194E – 12	9.7765E — 11	1.7482E - 10
0.5	1.255412811883	1.255412811853	3.0318E - 11	2.0825E - 10	3.5904E - 10
0.6	1.309519604203	1.309519604123	3.0434E - 11	3.9604E - 10	6.6068E - 10
0.7	1.365443754271	1.365443754083	1.8795E – 10	7.0460E - 10	1.1328E – 09
0.8	1.423648930194	1.423648929791	1.0260E - 10	1.2095E – 09	1.8543E – 09
0.9	1.484700278594	1.484700277783	2.1127E – 10	2.0511E - 09	2.9461E - 09
1.0	1.549306144334	1.549306142766	1.5684E – 09	3.5066E – 09	4.6013E – 09

5 Conclusion

A two-step Bernstein Hybrid Multistep Method (BHMM) of order 7 for the direct solution of general second order ODEs was proposed. The block method is derived through the technique of interpolation and collocation at appropriate selected points. The stability properties of the developed method compete favourably with the existing method as shown in the numerical examples.

References

- [1] M. I. Bhatti, P. Bracken, *Solutions of differential equations in a Bernstein polynomial basis*, Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 205 (2007) 272-280.
- [2] S. Davaeifar, J. Rashidinia, M. Amirfakhrian, Bernstein Polynomial Approach for Solution of Higher-Order Mixed Linear Fredholm Integro-Differential-Difference Equations with Variable Coefficients, TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math. V.7, N.1, (2016), pp.46-62
- [3] D. O. Awoyemi, *A New Sixth-order algorithm for general second order ordinary differential equation*, International Journal of Computer Mathematics 77,(2001). 117-124
- [4] D. O. Awoyemi, & S. J. Kayode, *A maximal order collocation method for direct solution of initial value problems of general second order ordinary differential equation*, Proceeding of the Conference Organized by the National Mathematical Centre, Abuja, Nigeria (2005).
- [5] D. O. Awoyemi, A. O. Adesanya and S. N. Ogunyebi, *Construction of self starting Numerov method for the solution of initial value problem of general second ordinary differential equation*, Journ. Num. Math, 4(2) (2008) 267-278.
- [6] R. A. Bun, T.D. Vasils, A numerical method for solving differential equations of any Order, Comp.Math. Phys, 32(3) (1992) 317-330.
- [7] A. O. Adeniran, and I. O. Longe, *One Step Trigonometrically-fitted Third Derivative Method with Oscillatory Solutions,* Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science 25(6): (2017) 1-7.
- [8] N. M. Kamoh, A. A. Abada, and M. C. Soomiyol, A block procedure with continuous coefficients for the direct solution of general second order initial value problems of (ODEs) using shifted Legendre polynomials as basis function, International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Development, vol. 5 Issue 4,(2018) 236-241.
- [9] S. N. Jator, A sixth order linear multistep method for the direct solution of y'' = f(x, y, y'), International Journal of Pure Applied Mathematics 40(1), (2007) 457-472.
- [10] J. D. Lambert, Computational Methods in Ordinary Differential Equations, John Wiley and Sons, London New York Sydney Toronto 1973.
- [11] M. Alkasassbeh, and Z. Omar, *Generalized two-hybrid one-step implicit third derivatives block method* for the direct solution of second order ordinary differential equations, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 112(3) (2017) 497-517
- [12] B. T. Olabode and A. L. Momoh, Chebyshev Hybrid Multistep Method for Directly Solving Second-Order Initial and Boundary Value Problems, Journal of the Nigerian Mathematical Society, Vol. 39, Issue 1, (2020) 97-115.
- [13] A. O. Adeniran, and I. O. Longe, *Solving Directly Second Order Initial Value Problems with Lucas Polynomial*, Journal of Advances in Mathematics and Computer Science, 32(4): (2019) 1-7.
- [14] L. A. Ukpebor, A 4-Point Block Method for Solving Second Order Initial Value Problems in Ordinary Differential Equations, American Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 9(3) (2019) 51-56
- [15] E. O. Ojo and F. M. Okoro, A Block Scheme with Bernstein Single Step Method for Direct Solution Second Order Differential, International Journal of Research and Innovation in Applied Science (IJRIAS) Vol.IV, Issue XII, Dec. (2019) 99-103.
- [16] E. O. Ojo and F. M. Okoro, *Bernstein induced one step hybrid scheme for general solution of second order initial value problems*, Malaya Journal of Matematik, Vol. 8, No. 2, (2020) 350-355.
- [17] S. O. Fatunla, *Block methods for second order IVPs*, Int. J. Comput. Maths.41 No 9 (1991) 55-63.
- [18] P. Henrici, Discrete Variable Methods for ODEs, John Wiley, New York, USA (1962).

- [19] J. D. Lambert, and A. Watson *Symmetric multistep method for periodic initial value problem*, Journal of the Institute of Mathematics and its Applications, 18 (1976), 189-202.
- [20] A.O. Adesanya, M.R. Odekunle, *Continuous Block Hybrid-Predictor-Corrector method for the solution* of y'' = f(x, y, y'), International Journal of Mathematics and Soft Computing Vol.2, No.2, (2012) 35 42.
- [21] T. A. Anake, D. O. Awoyemi and A. O. Adesanya, One step implicit hybrid block method for Direct solution of General Second Order Ordinary Differential Equations, International Journal of Applied Mathematics 42 2 No 4 (2012) 65-75.